

| USFS Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit | |
|---|---------------|
| <p>Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.</p> <p>All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).</p> <p>Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.</p> <p>Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.</p> | |
| General Evaluation Criteria | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Applicant must verify response. • #3 a&b – Applicant must verify response. • #4 – Applicant must verify response. • #5 – Applicant must verify response. • #7c– Narrative does not support “Barriers and/or signing” or “Education programs, maps, and/or brochures...” It is unclear if these activities are in place now or will take place in the future. • #9 – Applicant must verify response. • #10 – “Maps and handouts” are not eligible as a selection. Credit for maps and handouts is available in question 7c. • #12b – Narrative does not support “Yes” response. It is unclear if the applicant has developed methods to address soil issues. • #14 – The narrative does not support “Has engaged in collaborative processes with agencies that manage OHV Opportunities”. Applicant must identify the agencies that manage OHV Opportunities. Also, the narrative does not support, “Has adopted a general plan, management plan....” | |
| Ground Operations | G12-02-07-G01 |
| Project Description | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. | |

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff – “Other-Engineering OHV Supervisor-GS-11” appears to be an Indirect Cost. Applicant must provide additional information about the direct project related activities this staff will perform.
- Materials / Supplies – “Other-Materials/Supplies for OHV Volunte” – Applicant must provide additional information about this line item.
- Equipment Use Expenses – “Other-OHV Vehicle” – Applicant must provide the type(s) and number of vehicle(s) included in this line item.
- Equipment Purchases – “Other-Benches - McKinney-Rubicon/sand Pi” – Applicant must provide additional information about this line item.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Narrative does not support “Damage to special-status species...” and “Potential trespass”.
- #4 – Narrative does not support “Publicly noticed meeting(s)...” and “Meeting(s) with stakeholders”. – Applicant must provide date(s) for the “Publicly noticed meeting(s)...” and “Meeting(s) with stakeholders”. These meetings must be a separate meetings. Additionally, applicant must list more than one stakeholder.
- #5 – Applicant must identify the specific project activities the partner organization will perform for this project.
- #7 – “Trail maps” are not supported in the Project Description.